

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,152	05/07/2002	Satoshi Takagi	450101-03306	8644	
20999 FROMMER I.	20999 7590 09/09/2008 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG			EXAMINER	
745 FIFTH AV	VENUE- 10TH FL.		BAIG, SAHAR A		
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/09/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/009,152	TAKAGI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	SAHAR A. BAIG	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'S WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA'S Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a superior of the provision of the property of the provision of the property of the provision of	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	vl. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	ay 2008.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)Mail Date 05/12/2008.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sheth et al. US Patent No. 6.311,194.

Regarding Claims 1-6, 26, 27, and 28 Sheth discloses an asset management method/system for managing an essence, comprising [Col. 4 lines 54-57]: means for creating said essence [Col. 4 lines 63-64] and for generating metadata for explaining said essence when creating said essence [Col. 5 lines 5-7] means for archiving said essence and the metadata correlatively with each other[Col. 4 line 67 – Col. 5 line 2 metabase is an archive (recordings) of metadata] and means for controlling an operation performed on the archived essence based on said metadata to realize asset management for said essence [Col. 5 lines 7-12].

Although Sheth fails to explicitly mention that the archiving means issues and archives a tag specifying the metadata and then retrieves the metadata according to the tag, in Col. 6 lines 39-45 Sheth suggests that XML allows for creation of customized tags. In Col. 4 lines 14-17 Sheth discloses automated

Art Unit: 2623

content acquisition (retrieval means) may use metatags. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to archive the tags created for later retrieval.

Regarding Claims 7-12, 17, 18, and 25, Official Notice is taken of the production system wherein a post-production project is created from an essence. To create the project pre production or post production would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art once the method has been demonstrated by Sheth [Col. 4 line 54 – Col. 5 line 14].

Regarding Claim 13-16, Official Notice is taken of the archiving system.

Examiner deems it equivalent of the asset management system showed in Claims 1-6. Archiving essence and managing it in a database is identical.

Regarding Claim 19 and 20 Sheth discloses a distribution method for allotting an essence, comprising the steps of: creating said essence and generating metadata pertinent to said essence; performing post-production processing on said essence; and allotting said essence using metadata generated at the time of said production [Col. 5 line 5-12; A distributed method and apparatus to quickly produce agents which automatically create and manage digital media metadata...].

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 21, 23, and 24, Official Notice is taken of the authoring system.

Examiner deems it equivalent of the production system showed above.

Regarding Claim 22, Sheth discloses the use of semantics to enhance (*edit*) relevant information that may not be present in the original source (*video programme*) [Col. 5; line 10-12].

Regarding Claims 29-32, Sheth disclose all of the limitation except the use of UMID and SMPTE labels. The **SMPTE 330M Unique Material Identifier (UMID)** is a standard for providing a stand-alone method for generating a unique label designed to be used to attach to media files and streams. Since it's merely an industry standard the inclusion of such a feature would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art and hence is not patentable.

Conclusion

3 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2623

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SAHAR A. BAIG whose telephone number is (571)270-3005. The examiner can normally be reached on 4/5/9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Chris Kelley/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623

Art Unit: 2623

SB